Analysis of the confirmation time in PoW blockchains

IVAN MALAKHOV ANDREA MARIN SABINA ROSSI DARIA SMUSEVA UNIVERSITÀ CA' FOSCARI VENEZIA





Context: Transaction flow





Context: Miner's incentive

Miner's reward is guaranteed: • For each confirmed block • For each transaction in this block Miners select the transactions with

<u>highest</u> fee*

MemPool





Transaction flow





Context: Trade-off



Amount of transaction fee **VS** Transaction confirmation delay

End users



Problem statement

Investigate the relationship between offered fee and confirmation delay of transactions in PoW blockchain



State of the art

Fee determination methods:

Monte Carlo simulations

History-based approaches ('estimatesmartfee', BTC built-in function)

and others



Reactive nature of fee per byte and transaction arrival rate



Analytical model

M/M^B/1 queueing system (B – batch/block size) considering:

- Offered fee of target transaction
- Transaction arrival rate
- Mempool occupancy
- Fee distribution by other end users



Numerical results

Load factor Load factor -0.4eg 45 -0.2 -.0.8 confirma - 0.9 40ed 32 for the J 30 of blocks 5 5 5 of blocks EI. Expected number qu 15 Expe 1000 4000 5000 2000 3000 6000 7000 8000 9000 10000 1000 1500 2000 2500 500 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000 Number of initial pending transactions Number of initial pending transactions confirmation Load factor Load factor -0.6 -0.4 nfir the for the for of blocks blocks of number o hh Expected cted Exp 1500 2000 1500 2000 2500 500 1000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000 500 1000 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000

Number of initial pending transactions

Impact of the initial Mempool occupancy on the expected confirmation time



Number of initial pending transactions



Validation:model VS simulation



Expected confirmation time (in blocks) as function of fee per byte for model and simulation results, $Y=6,000, \lambda = 3.21 \text{ tx/s}$



Expected confirmation time (in blocks) as function of fee per byte for model and simulation results, $Y=12,000, \lambda = 4.02 \text{ tx/s}$



Conclusion

- Introduction of M/M^B/1 queueing model for confirmation time estimation based on:
 - Transaction offered fee
 - Mempool occupancy
 - Transaction fee destribution in Mempool
 - Transaction arrival rate

Thank you!

IVAN MALAKHOV ANDREA MARIN SABINA ROSSI DARIA SMUSEVA UNIVERSITÀ CA' FOSCARI VENEZIA





Data

We have collected:

o over 1.5 million of pending TXs

o for 5 days (15/11/2020-20/11/2020)



Fee per byte distributions



Empirical probability density function in <u>moderate workload</u> conditions



Empirical probability density function in <u>heavy workload</u> conditions



Main theorem

- $\circ M_1^Y$ expected number of blocks for the transaction validation
- Y Mempool occupancy* in transactions
- $\circ P'_1(1)$ constant determined with a numerical procedure
- oB block occupancy

 $\circ \alpha \triangleq \lambda/(\lambda + \mu)$ and $\circ \beta \triangleq 1 - \alpha$

$$\begin{cases} M_{1}^{1} = P_{1}'(1) \\ M_{1}^{Y+1} = M_{1}^{Y} + \frac{T_{Y-1}}{\alpha^{Y-1}} \left(M_{1}^{1} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) - \frac{T_{Y}}{\alpha^{Y}} M_{1}^{1} , \\ where: \\ T_{Y} \triangleq \sum_{c=0}^{\lfloor \frac{Y}{B+1} \rfloor} (-1)^{c+1} \binom{Y - Bc}{c} \alpha^{Bc} \beta^{c} . \end{cases}$$



Accuracy validation

Empirical probability density function of relative error of actual and predicted confirmation delays.

